

PATENT APPLICATION

Docket No. 2000-0477.ORI

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

Re App : John C. Yarian Date: March 10, 2004
S.N. : 09/883,040 Group Art: 2857
Filed : June 15, 2001 Examiner: Paul L. Kim
For : METHOD FOR MINIMIZING ERROR IN WEIGHT-
MEASURING DEVICES

MAR 10 2004

OFFICIAL

FACSIMILE COVER PAGE

VIA FACSIMILE: 703.872.9319

Mail Stop: AF Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

I hereby certify that the following papers are being transmitted
via telefacsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the
date shown below:

1. An Interview Summary Statement in response to the Official Action dated March 4, 2004 for the above-identified patent application; and
2. A transmittal cover letter.

Date: March 10, 2004


Respectfully submitted,

HAUGEN LAW FIRM PLLP

Denise L. Siede
Secretary to Mark J. Burns
Registration No. 46,91
1130 TCF Tower
121 South Eighth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Phone: (612) 339-8300
FAX: (612) 339-8200

4 PAGES - INCLUDING COVER PAGE

(10560.DOC)

TRANSMITTAL LETTER (General - Patent Pending)		Docket No. 2000-0477.ORI
In Re Application Of: John C. Yarian		
Serial No. 09/883,040	Filing Date June 15, 2001	Examiner Paul L. Kim
Title: METHOD FOR MINIMIZING ERROR IN WEIGHT-MEASURING DEVICES		
<u>TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:</u>		
Transmitted herewith is: an Interview Summary Statement in response to the Official Action of March 4, 2004		
in the above identified application.		
<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No additional fee is required.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> A check in the amount of _____ is attached.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Director is hereby authorized to charge and credit Deposit Account No. 50-0789 as described below.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Charge the amount of _____</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Credit any overpayment.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Charge any additional fee required.</p>		
 <i>Signature</i> Mark J. Burns		
Dated: March 10, 2004		
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>I certify that this document and fee is being deposited on March 10, 2004 with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail under 37 C.F.R. 1.8 and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.</p> <p> <i>Denise L. Siede</i> Denise L. Siede <i>Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence</i> </p></div>		
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p><i>Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence</i></p> </div>		
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>Denise L. Siede</p> </div>		
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px;"> <p>CC:</p> </div>		

PATENT APPLICATION

Docket No. 2000-0477.ORI

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Re App : John C. Yarian Date: March 10, 2004
S.N. : 09/883,040 Group Art: 2857
Filed : June 15, 2001 Examiner: Paul L. Kim
For : METHOD FOR MINIMIZING ERROR IN WEIGHT-
MEASURING DEVICES

INTERVIEW SUMMARY STATEMENT

VIA FACSIMILE: 703.872.9319

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendments
Commissioner for Patents and Trademarks
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This paper is submitted in response to the Official Action dated March 4, 2004 in the above-identified application, and further to the telephonic Examiner interview conducted on February 24, 2004.

On February 24, 2004, a telephonic interview was conducted between Examiner Paul Kim, Supervisory Patent Examiner Marc Hoff, and Applicant's attorney Mark Burns. The interview focused on independent claims 1 and 13 of the application, and the applicability thereto of the United

Kingdom Accreditation Service (UKAS) publication. Applicant's attorney pointed out to the Examiner that the UKAS reference describes obtaining a total uncertainty value existing in a plurality of physical weights making up a single test load. By contrast, independent claims 1 and 13 recite a method of conducting a plurality of successively performed tests on a measuring device, and summing together the reported error displayed by the measuring device at each test to thereby obtain a summed display error. This summed display error is then compared to a tolerance level to determine whether calibrative steps should be taken on the respective weighing device.

The Examiner ended the interview by stating that he will reconsider the rejections of independent claims 1 and 13 based solely upon the UKAS reference.

Respectfully submitted,

HAUGEN LAW FIRM PLLP



Mark J. Burns, Reg. #46591
Attorney for Applicant
1130 TCF Tower
121 South Eighth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Phone: (612) 339-8300